

Judge \_\_\_\_\_

Affiliation/School \_\_\_\_\_

**COLLEGIATE ACADEMY--Best Poster Competition**

POSTER NUMBER: \_\_\_\_\_ SECTION: \_\_\_\_\_

To the Judge: Please evaluate the poster using the following criteria and the point values indicated. Feel free to write helpful comments on this form. Your name will be removed from the form before it is given to the student presenter.

1. ABSTRACT: **10 pts** \_\_\_\_\_  
Abstract matched to presentation Concise Well-written

2. PRESENTATION: **10 pts** \_\_\_\_\_  
Effectiveness (does it adequately explain the project?) Grammar  
Clarity of Language Overall Visual Appeal and Clarity

3. ORGANIZATION OF POSTER **20 pts** \_\_\_\_\_  
Purpose, Methodology explained, Emphasis given to important results,  
Significance (relation to other work)

4. PROJECT DESIGN: **35 pts** \_\_\_\_\_  
Statement of objective Plan & execution Analysis of data Conclusions

5. FIGURES **15 pts** \_\_\_\_\_  
Visibility/readability Accuracy Design Impact

6. EXPERTISE: **10 pts** \_\_\_\_\_  
Ability to answer questions Knowledge of related literature /previous work

100%--Reserved for field-altering research presented in a professional and knowledgeable fashion. The project idea, experimental design,

data collection and analysis owned by the student. Excellent knowledge of the research relevance and current literature.

95%--An excellent undergraduate poster. One of the five best presented. Self-designed and completed with minimal involvement from senior faculty. Presenter should be knowledgeable in his/her topic and familiar with relevant literature.

85%--Good solid undergraduate poster. What you would expect from a good solid "B" student.

75%--Average poster. What you would expect from a participant in a much larger summer research program who is presenting his/her portion of the research. Able to answer questions but no original effort evident within the presentation.

65%--Poorly designed, poorly completed, poorly presented research. Knowledgeable about his/her research component but no real understanding of its relevance or familiarity with literature.

≤60%--not good. Try again.

DQ: presentation ≠ abstract, presenter on abstract ≠ presenter at meeting, not at poster during judging period

--please circle one--

**TOTAL** \_\_\_\_\_

=====  
=====  
Comments: \_\_\_\_\_